

## 4

# Spectral Results

### 4.1 Eigen Spectrum and Approximate Eigen Spectrum

Let  $X$  be a linear space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator. We recall the following definition from linear algebra.

**Definition 4.1.1** A scalar  $\lambda$  is an **eigenvalue** of  $A$  if there exists a nonzero  $x \in X$  such that

$$Ax = \lambda x,$$

and in that case  $x$  is called an **eigenvector** of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The set of all eigenvalues of  $A$  is called the **eigen spectrum** of  $A$  and it is denoted by  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$ .  $\diamond$

Thus,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $A - \lambda I$  is not one-one.

- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is non-trivial, and in that case every nonzero vector in  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.1.1** *Let  $X$  be a linear space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator.*

- If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a linearly independent set.*
- If  $R(A)$  is finite dimensional, then  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is a finite set.*

*Proof.* (i) This result is normally proved in a course in linear algebra, and hence its proof is left as an exercise.

(ii) Suppose  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is an infinite set. Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  consisting of distinct nonzero terms, and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_n$  be an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ . By (i),  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is linearly independent. Since  $Ax_n = \lambda_n x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that

$$\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq R(A)$$

and hence,  $R(A)$  is infinite dimensional. Thus, (ii) is proved. ■

**Remark 4.1.1** If  $X$  is finite dimensional, and if  $[A]_E$  is the matrix representation of  $A$  with respect to a basis  $E$  of  $X$ , then  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is the set of all eigenvalues of  $[A]_E$ . ◇

**Example 4.1.1** Let  $X = C[a, b]$  with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and let  $u \in C[a, b]$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = u(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in X.$$

Clearly,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . For  $x \in C[a, b]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$Ax = \lambda x \iff (u(t) - \lambda)x(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  if and only if there exists an interval  $I_\lambda \subseteq [a, b]$  such that  $u(t) = \lambda$  for all  $t \in I_\lambda$ . In particular:

If  $u$  is not a constant function on any subinterval of  $[a, b]$ ,  
then  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \emptyset$ . □

**Example 4.1.2** Let  $X$  be  $c_{00}$  or  $\ell^p$ . Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of scalars and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(j) = \lambda_j x(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in X.$$

Then we have

$$Ae_n = \lambda_n e_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and for a nonzero  $x \in X$ ,

$$Ax = \lambda x \iff \lambda \in \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

□

In the last example, if  $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , but if  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then there exists a subsequence of  $(\lambda_n)$ , say  $(\lambda_{k_n})$  which converges to  $\lambda$ , and in that case, taking the  $\ell^p$ -norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ae_{k_n} - \lambda e_{k_n}\|_p &\leq \|Ae_{k_n} - \lambda_{k_n} e_{k_n}\|_p + |\lambda_{k_n} - \lambda| \\ &= |\lambda_{k_n} - \lambda| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.1.2** Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator. A scalar  $\lambda$  is called an **approximate eigenvalue** of  $A$  if there exists  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The set of all approximate eigenvalues of  $A$  is called the **approximate eigen spectrum** of  $A$  and it is denoted by  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ .  $\diamond$

Clearly,

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A).$$

The proof of the following theorem is easy and hence it is left as an exercise.

**Theorem 4.1.2** *If  $A$  is a linear operator on a normed linear space  $X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ , then*

$$\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \iff A - \lambda I \text{ is not bounded below.}$$

*Proof.* Exercise.  $\blacksquare$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have:

- $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  implies  $A - \lambda I$  does not have a bounded inverse.

Thus, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ , then even if the operator equation

$$Ax - \lambda x = y$$

has a unique solution for a given  $y \in X$ , the solution does not depend continuously on the data  $y$ .

To see this, suppose  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  and  $y \in R(A - \lambda I)$ . Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $Ax - \lambda x = y$ , and let  $(u_n)$  be such that  $\|u_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|Au_n - \lambda u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then taking

$$v_n = Au_n - \lambda u_n, \quad y_n = y + v_n \quad \text{and} \quad x_n = x + u_n,$$

we have

$$Ax_n - \lambda x_n = y_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that

$$\|y - y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \|x - x_n\| = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Example 4.1.3** Let  $X$  be  $c_{00}$  or  $\ell^p$  with  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $A$  be as in Example 4.1.2, i.e.,

$$(Ax)(j) = \lambda_j x(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of scalars. Let  $\Lambda := \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We show that

$$\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl } \Lambda.$$

We have already seen that  $\text{cl } \Lambda \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  (see the discussion preceding Definition 4.1.2). To see the reverse inclusion, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \text{cl } \Lambda$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|(Ax)(j) - \lambda x(j)| = |\lambda - \lambda_j| |x(j)| \geq d |x(j)|,$$

where  $d := \text{dist}(\lambda, \Lambda)$ . Thus,

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq d \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Consequently,  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . □

In view of Example 4.1.3, we can state:

- Limit of a sequence of eigenvalues need not be an eigenvalue.

But, limit of a sequence of eigenvalues is always an approximate eigenvalue as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem 4.1.3** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator. Then*

$$\text{cl } \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A).$$

*Proof.* We have already observed that  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . Now, let  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence of eigenvalues of  $A$  which converges to  $\lambda$ . Let  $x_n \in X$  be such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $Ax_n = \lambda_n x_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| &\leq \|Ax_n - \lambda_n x_n\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \\ &= |\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . ■

In fact, the last theorem is a consequence of the following theorem as well.

**Theorem 4.1.4** *Let  $A$  be a linear operator on a normed linear space  $X$ . Then  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  is a closed set.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Note that, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - \lambda_n x\| &= \|(Ax - \lambda x) + (\lambda - \lambda_n)x\| \\ &\geq \|Ax - \lambda x\| - |\lambda - \lambda_n| \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume for a moment that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq c\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Hence, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $|\lambda - \lambda_k| < c/2$ , we have

$$\|Ax - \lambda_k x\| \geq \frac{c}{2}\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that  $\lambda_k \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . ■

**Theorem 4.1.5** *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then*

$$\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq \|A\|\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . Let  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  be such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|\lambda| = \|\lambda x_n\| = \|Ax_n - (Ax_n - \lambda x_n)\| \leq \|A\| + \|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\|.$$

Thus,  $|\lambda| \leq \|A\| + \|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\|$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $|\lambda| \leq \|A\|$ . ■

*Another proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  be such that  $|\lambda| > \|A\|$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq \|\lambda x\| - \|Ax\| \geq (|\lambda| - \|A\|)\|x\|.$$

Hence,  $A - \lambda I$  is bounded below so that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . Therefore, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ , then  $|\lambda| \leq \|A\|$ . ■

Combining Theorems 4.1.4 and 4.1.5, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.1.6** *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  is a compact set.*

**Example 4.1.4** Consider the operator  $A$  in Example 4.1.1, i.e.,  $X = C[a, b]$  with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = u(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in X,$$

where  $u \in C[a, b]$ . We have seen that  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \emptyset$ . Now, we show that

$$\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl} \{u(t) : t \in [a, b]\}.$$

For this, first let  $\lambda \notin \text{cl} S$ , where  $S = \{u(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ . Then,  $d := \inf\{|\lambda - \mu| : \mu \in S\} > 0$  so that we obtain

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\|_\infty \geq d\|x\|_\infty \quad \forall x \in C[a, b].$$

Hence,  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \subseteq \text{cl} S$ .

To obtain the reverse inclusion, by the closedness of  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ , it is enough to prove  $S \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . So, let  $\lambda \in S$  and  $t_0 \in [a, b]$  be such that  $u(t_0) = \lambda$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $I_n$  be an open interval containing  $\lambda$  such that its length is less than  $1/n$ . Since  $u \in C[a, b]$ , there exists an interval  $J_n \subseteq [a, b]$  containing  $t_0$  such that  $u(J_n) \subseteq I_n$ . Let  $x_n \in C[a, b]$  be such that  $\|x_n\|_\infty = 1$  and  $x_n(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin J_n$ . Then we have

$$\|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in J_n} |u(t) - \lambda| |x_n(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in J_n} |u(t) - \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,  $S \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . Thus, we have shown that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl} S$ .  $\square$

Next we show some nice properties of the approximate eigenspectra of compact operators. Before that, we prove the following lemma which will be used subsequently.

**Lemma 4.1.7 (Riesz lemma)** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space,  $X_0$  be a proper closed subspace of  $X$  and  $0 < r < 1$ . Then there exists  $x_r \in X$  such that*

$$\|x_r\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(x_r, X_0) \geq r.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X_0$  is a proper closed subspace of  $X$ , there exists  $x \in X \setminus X_0$  such that  $d := \text{dist}(x, X_0) > 0$ . Since  $d/r > d$ , there exists  $u \in X_0$  such that  $\|u - x\| \leq d/r$ . Let

$$x_r = \frac{x - u}{\|x - u\|}.$$

Then  $\|x_r\| = 1$  and

$$\text{dist}(x_r, X_0) = \frac{\text{dist}(x, X_0)}{\|x - u\|} \geq \frac{d}{d/r} = r.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 4.1.8** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i)  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is finite dimensional for every nonzero  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$ .
- (iii) 0 is the only possible limit point of  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is a countable set.

*Proof.* (i) Its enough to prove that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ . So, let  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $A$  is a compact operator, there exists a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  such that  $Ax_{k_n} \rightarrow y$  for some  $y \in X$ . Hence,

$$x_{k_n} = \frac{1}{\lambda} [Ax_{k_n} - (Ax_{k_n} - \lambda x_{k_n})] \rightarrow \frac{y}{\lambda}$$

so that we have

$$Ax_{k_n} \rightarrow \frac{Ay}{\lambda}.$$

Since  $Ax_{k_n} \rightarrow y$ , we obtain  $Ay = \lambda y$ . Also,  $y \neq 0$ , since  $\|x_{k_n}\| = 1$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Thus, we have proved that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$ .

(ii) Let  $\lambda$  be a nonzero eigenvalue of  $A$ . Suppose  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is infinite dimensional. Let  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a linearly independent subset of  $N(A - \lambda I)$ . Let  $X_0 = \{0\}$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$X_n := \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Since  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is linearly independent, by Riesz lemma (Lemma 4.1.7), for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $u_n \in X_n$  such that

$$\|u_n\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(u_n, X_{n-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > m$ ,  $u_m \in X_{n-1}$  and

$$\|Au_n - Au_m\| = \|\lambda(u_n - u_m)\| \geq \frac{|\lambda|}{2}.$$

Thus,  $(Au_n)$  does not have a Cauchy subsequence so that  $(Au_n)$  does not have a convergent subsequence.

(iii) For  $r > 0$ , let

$$\Delta_r := \{\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) : |\lambda| \geq r\}.$$

It is enough to prove that  $\Delta_r$  is a finite set (*Why?*). Assume for a moment that  $\Delta_r$  is an infinite set for some  $r > 0$ . Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of distinct elements from  $\Delta_r$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_n$  be an eigen vector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ . Let  $X_0 = \{0\}$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$X_n := \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Since  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is linearly independent, by Riesz lemma (Lemma 4.1.7), for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $u_n \in X_n$  such that

$$\|u_n\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(u_n, X_{n-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > m$ ,

$$Au_n - Au_m = \lambda_n u_n + (Au_n - \lambda_n u_n) - Au_m,$$

where

$$Au_n - \lambda_n u_n \in X_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad Au_m \in X_{n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\|Au_n - Au_m\| \geq \text{dist}(\lambda_n u_n, X_{n-1}) = |\lambda_n| \text{dist}(u_n, X_{n-1}) \geq \frac{r}{2}.$$

Thus,  $(Au_n)$  does not have a Cauchy subsequence so that  $(Au_n)$  does not have a convergent subsequence. ■

By the very nature of a compact operator, it is clear that if  $X$  is infinite dimensional and  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , then

$$0 \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(S).$$

In view of Theorem 4.1.8, one may enquire whether every compact operator has an eigenvalue. The answer is, in general, not in affirmative as the following example shows.



**Example 4.1.5** Let  $X = L^2[a, b]$  and

$$(Ax)(s) = \int_a^s x(t) dt, \quad x \in L^2[a, b].$$

Recall from Example 2.4.4 that  $A$  is a compact operator.

Now, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x \in L^2[a, b]$  be such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Then we have

$$\lambda x(s) = \int_a^s x(t) dt, \quad \text{for almost all } s \in [a, b].$$

Recall from *fundamental theorem of Lebesgue integration*, that for  $u \in L^2[a, b]$ , if

$$v(s) = \int_a^s u(t) dt, \quad s \in [a, b],$$

then  $v$  is *absolutely continuous*, and  $v' = u$  almost everywhere. Thus, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ , and if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $x$  is absolutely continuous,  $x(a) = 0$  and

$$x'(s) = \frac{x(s)}{\lambda} \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

so that, in this case also, we obtain  $x = 0$ . Thus,  $A$  does not have any eigenvalue. □

## 4.2 Resolvent Set and Spectrum

Throughout this chapter, we assume that  $X$  is a normed linear space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator. For deriving interesting and important results, we shall assume further properties on  $X$  and  $A$ .

**Definition 4.2.1** The set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $A - \lambda I$  is bijective and  $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is continuous is called the **resolvent set** of  $A$ , and it is denoted by  $\rho(A)$ . The compliment of  $\rho(A)$  is called the **spectrum** of  $A$ , and it is denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ . ◇

Thus, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in \rho(A) &\iff A - \lambda I \text{ is bijective and } (A - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(X), \\ \lambda \in \sigma(A) &\iff \lambda \notin \rho(A). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of bounded inverse theorem (Corollary 3.1.8).

**Theorem 4.2.1** *If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then*

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : A - \lambda I \text{ is not bijective}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.2** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then*

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \sigma_{\text{com}}(A),$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{com}}(A)$ , called the **compression spectrum** of  $A$ , is the set of all those  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $R(A - \lambda I)$  is not dense in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \sigma_{\text{com}}(A) \subseteq \sigma(A).$$

Next, let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \sigma_{\text{com}}(A)$ . Then  $A - \lambda I$  is bounded below and  $R(A - \lambda I)$  is dense. Hence,  $A - \lambda I$  is bijective so that by Theorem 4.2.1,

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \sigma_{\text{com}}(A).$$

This completes the proof. ■

Clearly

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \subseteq \sigma(A).$$

We have already seen the case where the first inclusion above is strict. The following example shows that the second inclusion also can be strict.

**Example 4.2.1** Let  $X = c_{00}$  with  $\ell^p$ -norm and  $A$  be the *right shift operator*, that is,

$$(Ax)(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 1, \\ x(i-1), & i \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|Ax\| \geq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $e_1 \notin R(A)$ . Thus,

$$0 \in \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_{\text{app}}(A).$$

Also, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ , we have

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq \|Ax\| - \|\lambda x\| \geq (1 - |\lambda|)\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and we see that  $e_1 \notin R(A - \lambda I)$  so that

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\} \subseteq \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_{\text{app}}(A).$$

We shall see in Example 4.2.3 that, for this operator  $A$ ,

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

□

**Example 4.2.2** Let  $X$  be  $c_{00}$  or  $\ell^p$  with  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $A$  be as in Example 4.1.3, i.e.,

$$(Ax)(j) = \lambda_j x(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of scalars. We have seen that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl } \Lambda,$$

where  $\Lambda := \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Thus,  $\text{cl } \Lambda \subseteq \sigma(A)$ . Now, we show that

$$\sigma(A) = \text{cl } \Lambda.$$

Let  $\lambda \notin \text{cl } \Lambda$ . We know that  $A - \lambda I$  is one-one. For  $y \in X$ , let  $x \in X$  be defined by

$$x(j) = \frac{y(j)}{\lambda_j - \lambda} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $|\lambda_j - \lambda| \geq d := \text{dist}(\lambda, \text{cl } \Lambda)$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $Ax - \lambda x = y$  so that  $A - \lambda I$  is onto as well. Further,

$$\|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}y\| = \|x\| \leq \frac{\|y\|}{d}$$

so that  $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator. Thus,  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \text{cl } \Lambda$ , and we have completed the proof of  $\sigma(A) = \text{cl } \Lambda$ . □

We have seen that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  is a closed set, and if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq \|A\|\}$ . Now, we show that these results hold for  $\sigma(A)$  whenever  $X$  is a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.2.3** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then the following hold.*

(i)  $\sigma(A)$  is a bounded set. More precisely,

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq \|A\|\}.$$

(ii)  $\rho(A)$  is an open set. More precisely, for each  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$ ,

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < 1/\|(A - \lambda_0 I)^{-1}\|\} \subseteq \rho(A).$$

In particular,  $\sigma(A)$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  be such that  $|\lambda| > \|A\|$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|(A - \lambda I)x\| \geq \|\lambda x\| - \|Ax\| \geq (|\lambda| - \|A\|)\|x\|.$$

From this, it follows that  $A - \lambda I$  is one-one,  $R(A - \lambda I)$  is closed and  $A - \lambda I$  has a continuous inverse from its range. Hence, to complete the proof of (i), using Theorem 4.2.1, it is enough to prove that  $R(A - \lambda I) = X$ . Suppose this is not true. Then, by a consequence of Hahn Banach theorem (see Corollary 3.2.3), there exists  $f \in X'$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in R(A - \lambda I)$ . In particular,  $f(Ax - \lambda x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence,

$$|\lambda| \|x\| = \|\lambda x\| = \|f(Ax)\| \leq \|f\| \|A\| \|x\| = \|A\| \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Thus,

$$(|\lambda| - \|A\|)\|x\| = 0 \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This is a contradiction, since  $|\lambda| > \|A\|$ . Thus,  $|\lambda| > \|A\|$  implies  $A - \lambda I$  is bijective.

(ii) Let  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  be such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| < 1/\|(A - \lambda_0 I)^{-1}\|.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} A - \lambda I &= (A - \lambda_0 I) - (\lambda - \lambda_0)I \\ &= [I - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(A - \lambda_0 I)^{-1}](A - \lambda_0 I), \end{aligned}$$

by (i) and Theorem 4.2.1,  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ . Thus,

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|(A - \lambda_0 I)^{-1}\|}\} \subseteq \rho(A).$$

Hence,  $\rho(A)$  is an open set and consequently,  $\sigma(A)$  is a closed set.

By (i) and (ii),  $\sigma(A)$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{K}$ . ■

**Corollary 4.2.4** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq \|A^n\|^{1/n}\}.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda|^n > \|A^n\|\} \subseteq \rho(A).$$

So, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  is such that  $|\lambda|^n > \|A^n\|$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that

$$A^n - \lambda^n I = (A - \lambda I) \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda^{j-1} A^{n-j} = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda^{j-1} A^{n-j} \right] (A - \lambda I)$$

By Theorem 4.2.3(i),  $A^n - \lambda^n I$  is bijective. Hence, from the above equalities,  $A - \lambda I$  is bijective. Since  $X$  is a Banach space, by Theorem 4.2.1,  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ . ■

**Definition 4.2.2** Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then the number

$$r_{\sigma}(A) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$$

is called the **spectral radius** of  $A$ . ◇

By Corollary 4.2.4, if  $X$  is a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then

$$r_{\sigma}(A) \leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|A^n\|^{1/n}.$$

In fact, we have the following theorem. We omit its proof. Interested reader may see the proof in [5].

**Theorem 4.2.5 (Gelfand–Mazur Theorem)** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then*

- (i) **(Gelfand–Mazur Theorem)**  $\sigma(A)$  is nonempty,
- (ii) **(Spectral radius formula)**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n}$  exists and

$$r_{\sigma}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.6** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then, every boundary point of  $\sigma(A)$  is an approximate eigenvalue of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a boundary point of  $\sigma(A)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  and there exists a sequence  $(\mu_n)$  in  $\rho(A)$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \lambda$ . Suppose  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ , and let  $c > 0$  be such that

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq c\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $|\lambda - \mu_N| < c/2$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - \mu_N x\| &= \|(Ax - \lambda x) - (\mu_N - \lambda)x\| \\ &\geq \|Ax - \lambda x\| - |\mu_N - \lambda|\|x\| \\ &\geq (c - |\mu_N - \lambda|)\|x\| \\ &> \frac{c}{2}\|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(A - \mu_N I)^{-1}\| < \frac{2}{c}$$

so that

$$\|(\lambda - \mu_N)(A - \mu_N I)^{-1}\| < 1.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.2.3(ii),  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . ■

**Example 4.2.3** Consider the Example 4.2.1. We show that

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

We have seen that

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\} \subseteq \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_{\text{app}}(A).$$

It can also be seen that  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . Thus,

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\} \subseteq \sigma(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

Hence, by the closedness of  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . The above observations together with Theorem 4.2.6 imply that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ . □

**Example 4.2.4** Let  $X = C[a, b]$  with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and let  $u \in C[a, b]$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = u(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in X.$$

Clearly,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . We show that

$$\sigma(A) = \text{cl } S,$$

where  $S := \{u(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ . Recall from Example 4.1.4 that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl } S$ . Hence, it is enough to show that  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \text{cl } S$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \notin \text{cl } S$ . Then  $A - \lambda I$  is one-one. Also, for every  $y \in C[a, b]$ , the function  $x \in C[a, b]$  defined by

$$x(t) = \frac{y(t)}{u(t) - \lambda}, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

satisfies the equation  $Ax - \lambda x = y$ . Thus, for all  $\lambda \notin \text{cl } S$ ,  $A - \lambda I$  is bijective. Since  $X$  is a Banach space, by Theorem 4.2.1,  $\sigma(A) \subseteq S$ . Thus, we have proved that  $\sigma(A) = \text{cl } S$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Spectral Results for Self Adjoint, Normal and Unitary Operators

We know from linear algebra that if  $A$  is a self adjoint operator on a finite dimensional inner product space, then its eigen spectrum is nonempty finite set of real numbers, irrespective of whether the scalar field is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . One may wonder whether the same can be said about the spectrum of a self adjoint operator on a (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert space. Yes, we can. We shall move towards the justification of this claim.

Throughout this section, we consider  $X$  to be a Hilbert space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Recall that  $A$  is

- *self-adjoint* if  $A^* = A$ ,
- *normal* if  $A^*A = AA^*$ , and
- *unitary* if  $A^*A = I = AA^*$ .

We shall make use of the following easily verifiable result.

**Lemma 4.3.1** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then*

$$R(A)^\perp = N(A^*).$$

**Theorem 4.3.2** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then*

$$R(A - \lambda I) \text{ is dense in } X \text{ if and only if } \bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*).$$

*Proof.* We note that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $(A - \lambda I)^* = A^* - \bar{\lambda}I$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.3.1, replacing  $A$  by  $A - \lambda I$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*) &\iff N(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I) = \{0\} \\ &\iff R(A - \lambda I)^\perp = \{0\} \\ &\iff R(A - \lambda I) \text{ dense in } X. \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence is a consequence of projection theorem. This completes the proof. ■

In view of the above theorem together with Theorem 4.2.2, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.3** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then*

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*)\}.$$

Clearly, if  $A$  is self adjoint, then

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

We, in fact, have the following.

**Theorem 4.3.4** *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator. Then*

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , then there is nothing to prove. Hence, assume that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\beta \neq 0$ . It is enough to show that  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ . For this first we note that, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - \lambda x\|^2 &= \langle (A - \alpha I)x + i\beta x, (A - \alpha I)x + i\beta x \rangle \\ &= \|(A - \alpha I)x\|^2 + |\beta|^2 \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the above, we used the fact that

$$\langle (A - \alpha I)x, \beta x \rangle = \langle \beta x, (A - \alpha I)x \rangle.$$

Thus,  $A - \lambda I$  is bounded below, so that it is one-one and  $R(A - \lambda I)$  is closed. Similarly,  $A - \bar{\lambda}I$  is also one-one. Hence, by Lemma 4.3.1,

$$[R(A - \lambda I)]^\perp = N(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I) = N(A - \bar{\lambda}I) = \{0\}.$$

Consequently,  $R(A - \lambda I)$  is dense in  $X$ , so that by the closedness of  $R(A - \lambda I)$ ,  $A - \lambda I$  is onto. ■



For normal operators we have the following.

**Theorem 4.3.5** *Let  $A$  be a normal operator and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then*

(i) *For  $x \in X$ ,  $Ax = \lambda x \iff A^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$ . In particular,*

$$\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \iff \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*).$$

(ii)  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}, \lambda \neq \mu \implies N(A - \lambda I) \perp N(A - \mu I)$ .

(iii)  $\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then, using the fact that  $A$  is normal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - \lambda x\|^2 &= \langle (A - \lambda I)x, (A - \lambda I)x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)(A - \lambda I)x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A - \lambda I)(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x \rangle \\ &= \langle (A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x, (A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x \rangle \\ &= \|A^*x - \bar{\lambda}x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (i) follows.

Now, let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Let  $x \in N(A - \lambda I)$  and  $y \in N(A - \mu I)$ . Then, using (i), we have

$$\lambda \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^*y \rangle = \langle x, \bar{\mu}y \rangle = \mu \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Thus,  $\lambda \neq \mu$  implies  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . Thus, (ii) is proved.

Now, (i) and Corollary 4.3.3 imply (iii). ■

Next result is concerned about the spectra of unitary operators.

**Theorem 4.3.6** *Let  $A$  be a unitary operator and  $X \neq \{0\}$ . Then*

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

*Further, if  $\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then*

$$r_{\sigma}(A) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A^*A = I = AA^*$ ,

$$\|Ax\| = \|x\| = \|A^*x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Hence,  $\|A\| = 1$ . Now, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  be such that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . Then for every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|Ax - \lambda x\| \geq |\|Ax\| - |\lambda|\|x\|| = |1 - |\lambda||\|x\|.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 4.3.5 (iii),  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ . The last part follows from the definition of  $r_\sigma(A)$ . ■

**Definition 4.3.1** For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , the set

$$W(A) := \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}$$

is called the **numerical range** of  $A$ , and

$$r_W(A) := \sup\{|\langle Ax, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}$$

is called the **numerical radius** of  $A$ . ◇

Observe:

- $A$  self adjoint  $\implies W(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

Converse of the above need not be true. For instance, if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $W(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  even if  $A$  is not self adjoint. However, the converse holds if the scalar field is  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [5]).

**Definition 4.3.2** If  $W(A) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , then  $A$  is called a **positive operator**. ◇

**Notation 4.3.1** For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  with  $W(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let us use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A &:= \inf\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}, \\ \beta_A &:= \sup\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

◇

**Theorem 4.3.7** Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be self adjoint. Then

$$\|A\| = r_W(A) = \max\{|\alpha_A|, |\beta_A|\},$$

and if  $A$  is positive self adjoint, then  $\|A\| = \beta_A$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 2.2.5. ■

**Lemma 4.3.8** *Suppose  $A$  is a positive self-adjoint operator. Then*

$$\beta_A \in \sigma(A).$$

*In particular, if  $A$  is positive self adjoint, then  $r_\sigma(A) = \|A\|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  be such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \beta_A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_n - \beta_A x_n\|^2 &= \|Ax_n\|^2 - 2\beta_A \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle + \beta_A^2 \\ &\leq \|A\|^2 - 2\beta_A \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle + \beta_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \beta_A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta_A = \|A\|$  (see Theorem 4.3.7), it follows from the above inequality that  $\|Ax_n - \beta_A x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\beta_A \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \sigma(A)$ . ■

**Theorem 4.3.9** *Suppose  $A$  is a self-adjoint operator. Then*

$$r_\sigma(A) = \|A\|.$$

*In particular, there exists  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  such that  $|\lambda| = \|A\|$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 4.3.7, it is enough to prove that

$$\{\alpha_A, \beta_A\} \subseteq \sigma(A).$$

For this purpose, we may first observe that

$$B := A - \alpha_A I \quad \text{and} \quad C := \beta_A I - A$$

are positive self adjoint operators. Therefore, by what we have proved in the previous paragraph,

$$\beta_B \in \sigma(B), \quad \beta_C \in \sigma(C).$$

But,

$$\beta_B = \sup\{\langle (A - \alpha_A I)x, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\} = \beta_A - \alpha_A,$$

$$\beta_C = \sup\{\langle (\beta_A I - A)x, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\} = \beta_A - \alpha_A,$$

$$\sigma(B) = \{\lambda - \alpha_A : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\},$$

$$\sigma(C) = \{\beta_A - \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}.$$

Hence, there there exists  $\lambda, \mu \in \sigma(A)$  such that

$$\beta_A - \alpha_A = \lambda - \alpha_A \quad \beta_A - \alpha_A = \beta_A - \mu.$$

Consequently,  $\beta_A = \lambda \in \sigma(A)$  and  $\alpha_A = \mu \in \sigma(A)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

**Corollary 4.3.10** *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is a compact self adjoint operator, then there exists  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  such that  $|\lambda| = \|A\|$ .*

**Remark 4.3.1** Theorem 4.3.9, in particular, shows that if  $A$  is a self adjoint operator, then the fact that  $\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$  (cf. Theorem 4.2.5) holds for a real Hilbert space as well.  $\diamond$

By Theorem 4.3.9, if  $A$  is a self adjoint operator, then  $\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$ . However, the eigenspectrum can be empty even if  $A$  is self adjoint as the following example shows.

**Example 4.3.1** Let  $X = L^2[a, b]$  and

$$(Ax)(t) = tx(t) \text{ for almost all } t \in [a, b].$$

Note that  $A$  is a self adjoint operator.

Now, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x \in L^2[a, b]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Ax = \lambda x &\iff (\lambda - t)x(t) = 0 \text{ for almost all } t \in [a, b] \\ &\iff x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A$  does not have any eigenvalue.  $\square$

Recall from Theorem 4.3.5 (iii) that if  $A$  is a normal operator, then  $\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ . For a general bounded operator, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.11** *For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,*

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\text{app}}(A) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*)\}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3.2, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$R(A - \lambda I) \text{ not dense in } X \iff \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A^*).$$

Hence, the result is a consequence of Theorem 4.2.2.  $\blacksquare$

## 4.4 Spectral Representations

Recall from linear algebra that if  $X$  is a finite dimensional inner product space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a self adjoint operator, then  $A$  can be represented as

$$Ax = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are nonzero real numbers and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  is an orthonormal set in  $X$ .

In this section we prove that an analogous representation is possible if  $A$  is a compact self adjoint operator in a general Hilbert space. Our proof includes the case of finite dimensional case as well.

First, let us recall the following facts about a compact operator  $A$  on a general Banach space (cf. Theorem 4.1.8):

1. Eigen spectrum of  $A$  is countable,
2. 0 is the only possible limit point of the eigen spectrum of  $A$ , and
3. Eigen space associated with every nonzero eigenvalue is finite dimensional.
4. Every nonzero approximate eigenvalue of  $A$  is an eigenvalue.

Also for a self adjoint operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $A$ , we know the following (cf. Theorems 4.3.5 and Corollary 4.3.10):

1. Eigen vectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of  $A$  are orthogonal.
2.  $A$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| = \|A\|$ .

We shall also make use of a few simple-minded lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4.1** *Let  $A$  be a self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $X$  and  $X_0$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . Then*

$$A(X_0) \subseteq X_0 \iff A(X_0^\perp) \subseteq X_0^\perp.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $A(X_0) \subseteq X_0$ . Let  $x \in X_0^\perp$ . Then for every  $y \in X_0$ ,  $Ay \in X_0$  so that

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle = 0.$$

Thus,  $A(X_0^\perp) \subseteq X_0^\perp$ . Also, by projection theorem,  $X_0^{\perp\perp} = X_0$  so that from what we have proved,

$$A(X_0^\perp) \subseteq X_0^\perp \implies A(X_0) = A(X_0^{\perp\perp}) \subseteq X_0^{\perp\perp} = X_0.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Definition 4.4.1** Let  $A$  be a linear operator on a linear space  $X$ . A subspace  $X_0$  of  $X$  is said to be **invariant** under  $A$  or an **invariant subspace** for  $A$  if  $A(X_0) \subseteq X_0$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 4.4.1** Let  $A$  be a linear operator on a linear space  $X$  and let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ . Then it can be easily seen that

$$X_0 = N(A - \lambda_1 I) + \dots + N(A - \lambda_k I)$$

is invariant under  $A$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $A$  is a self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $X$  and  $X_0$  is an invariant subspace for  $X$ . Then, by Lemma 4.4.1,  $X_0^\perp$  is also invariant under  $A$ . Hence, it can be seen that

$$A_1 := A|_{X_0} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := A|_{X_0^\perp}$$

are self adjoint operators on  $X_0$  and  $X_0^\perp$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.4.2** Let  $A$  be a self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $X$  and  $X_0$  be an invariant subspace for  $X$ . Let  $A_1 := A|_{X_0}$  and  $A_2 := A|_{X_0^\perp}$ . Then

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1) \cup \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2).$$

*Proof.* We observe that if  $x \in X$  and  $(u, v) \in X_0 \times X_0^\perp$  is such that  $x = u + v$ , then  $x \neq 0$  if and only if at least one of  $u$  and  $v$  is nonzero. Further, using the invariance of  $X_0$  and  $X_0^\perp$  and the fact that  $X_0 \cap X_0^\perp = \{0\}$ ,

$$Ax = \lambda x \iff A_1 u = \lambda u \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 v = \lambda v.$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1) \cup \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2).$$

This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 4.4.3** Let  $A$  be a self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $X$  and let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ . Let

$$X_0 = N(A - \lambda_1 I) + \dots + N(A - \lambda_k I)$$

and let  $A_1 := A|_{X_0}$  and  $A_2 := A|_{X_0^\perp}$ . Then

- (i)  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2) = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) It can be easily seen that  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1)$ . Now, let  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1)$ . Then there exists a nonzero  $x \in X_0$  such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Let  $x_i \in N(A - \lambda_i I)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$x = x_1 + \dots + x_k.$$

Since  $N(A - \lambda_i I) \perp N(A - \lambda_j I)$  for  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\|x\|^2 = \|x_1\|^2 + \dots + \|x_k\|^2.$$

Hence,  $x_i \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Also, since  $Ax = \lambda x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Ax - \lambda x &= (Ax_1 - \lambda x_1) + \dots + (Ax_k - \lambda x_k) \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda)x_1 + \dots + (\lambda_k - \lambda)x_k, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\lambda = \lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ .

(ii) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ . By Lemma 4.4.2, we know that  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_1) \cup \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2)$ . Hence, by part (i), we obtain  $\lambda \in \sigma(A_2)$ . Next, suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2)$ . Then there exists a nonzero  $x \in X_0^\perp$  such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Then,  $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ , for if  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , then we would have  $Ax = \lambda_i x$  so that  $x \in N(A - \lambda_i I) \subseteq X_0$ , which would contradict the fact that  $x \neq 0$ . Thus, we have proved that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  if and only if  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_2)$ . ■

Now, we state and prove the main theorem of this book, the so called *spectral theorem for a compact self adjoint operator*.

**Theorem 4.4.4** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a nonzero compact self adjoint operator. Then*

$$A = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \lambda_i P_i,$$

where  $\{\lambda_j : j \in \Lambda\}$  is a countable set of real numbers which are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and, for each  $i \in \Lambda$ ,  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto the eigen space  $N(A - \lambda_i I)$ .

*Proof.* We know that the eigenspectrum of  $A$  is a countable set, say  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ , where  $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is a finite set and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$  if  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is an infinite set.

Case (i):  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is a finite set.

Suppose  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are distinct. We know that each  $N(A - \lambda_i I)$  is finite dimensional (cf. Theorem 4.1.8). Let  $\{v_{ij} : j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $N(A - \lambda_i I)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . By Theorem 4.3.5),  $N(A - \lambda_i I) \perp N(A - \lambda_j I)$  for  $i \neq j$ . Hence,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{v_{ij} : j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of

$$X_k := N(A - \lambda_1 I) + \dots + N(A - \lambda_k I).$$

By projection theorem, every  $x \in X$  can be written uniquely as

$$x = u + v \quad \text{with} \quad u \in X_k, v \in X_k^\perp.$$

Note that

$$\langle x, v_{ij} \rangle = \langle u, v_{ij} \rangle \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, n_i,$$

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \langle u, v_{ij} \rangle v_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \langle x, v_{ij} \rangle v_{ij}$$

so that

$$Ax = Au + Av = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i x + Av,$$

where  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $N(A - \lambda_i)$ , i.e.,

$$P_i x = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \langle x, v_{ij} \rangle v_{ij}, \quad x \in X.$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that  $Av = 0$ . Since  $X_k$  is invariant under  $A$ , by Theorem 4.4.1,  $X_k^\perp$  is also invariant under  $A$ . Therefore,  $A_k := A|_{X_k^\perp}$  is a compact self adjoint operator on  $X_k^\perp$ . We, in fact, show that  $A_k = 0$ , which would imply that  $Av = 0$ .

Suppose  $A_k \neq 0$ . Then, by Corollary 4.3.10,  $A_k$  will have a nonzero eigenvalue, say  $\lambda$ , which would be an eigenvalue of  $A$  as



well. Let  $y \in X_k^\perp$  be a corresponding eigenvector. Then we have  $Ay = \lambda y$  so that the  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and hence  $y \in N(A - \lambda_i I) \subseteq X_k$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $y \neq 0$ .

Case (ii):  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  is an infinite set.

Suppose that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  are the distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $|\lambda_i| \geq |\lambda_{i+1}|$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . As earlier, let

$$X_k := N(A - \lambda_1 I) + \dots + N(A - \lambda_k I).$$

Let  $x \in X$ . Again, by projection theorem,

$$x = u + v \quad \text{with} \quad u \in X_k, v \in X_k^\perp$$

and

$$Ax = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i x + Av,$$

where  $\|v\| \leq \|x\|$ . Hence,

$$\|Ax - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i x\| \leq \|A_k\| \|x\|,$$

where  $A_k := A|_{X_k^\perp}$  is a compact self adjoint operator on  $X_k^\perp$ . By Lemma 4.4.3,

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A_k) = \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}.$$

Hence,  $\|A_k\| = |\lambda_{k+1}|$  so that

$$\|Ax - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i x\| \leq \|A_k\| \|x\| = |\lambda_{k+1}| \|x\|$$

and hence,

$$\|A - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i\| \leq |\lambda_{k+1}| \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $\lambda_{k+1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. Theorem 4.1.8), we obtain

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i P_i.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Corollary 4.4.5** *Let  $A$  be a compact self adjoint operator. Then there exists a sequence  $(B_n)$  of self adjoint finite rank operators such that*

$$\|A - B_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 4.4.4. ■

Recall that in obtaining Theorem 4.4.4, one of the primary facts about a nonzero compact self adjoint operator that we used is that it has a nonzero eigenvalue. We know that this fact need not be true for a non-self adjoint compact operator (cf. Example 4.1.5). However, we do have a representation similar to the one as in Theorem 4.4.4 for any compact operator on a Hilbert space, in terms of the so called *singular values*.

**Theorem 4.4.6 (Singular value representation)** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  Hilbert spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact operator. Then there exist an orthonormal basis  $\{u_n : n \in \Lambda\}$  for  $N(T)^\perp$ , an orthonormal basis  $\{v_n : n \in \Lambda\}$  for  $\overline{R(T)}$  and  $\{s_n : n \in \Lambda\} \subseteq [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$Tx = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} s_n \langle x, u_n \rangle v_n \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where  $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $k = \text{rank}(T) < \infty$ , and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$  if  $\text{rank}(T) = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $T^*T$  is a compact self adjoint operator so that by Theorem 4.4.4, there exists an orthonormal set  $\{u_n : n \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$  and  $\{\mu_n : n \in \Lambda\} \subseteq [0, \infty)$

$$T^*Tx = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \mu_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  or if  $k = \text{rank}(T) < \infty$ , and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$  if  $\text{rank}(T) = \infty$ . Also, we know that, if  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that

$$T^*Tu_n = \mu_n u_n \quad \forall n \in \Lambda.$$

Hence,

$$\mu_n = \langle \mu_n u_n, u_n \rangle = \langle T^*Tu_n, u_n \rangle = \langle Tu_n, Tu_n \rangle = \|Tu_n\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Taking

$$s_n = \sqrt{\mu_n} \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = \frac{Tu}{s_n} \quad \forall n \in \Lambda,$$

we have

$$Tu_n = s_n v_n \quad \text{and} \quad T^* v_n = s_n u_n \quad \forall n \in \Lambda.$$

We also know that

$$\langle x, u_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \in \Lambda \implies T^* T x = 0 \implies x \in N(T^* T) = N(T)$$

so that  $\{u_n : n \in \Lambda\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $N(T)^\perp$ . Also, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\langle T x, v_n \rangle = \langle x, T^* v_n \rangle = \langle x, s_n u_n \rangle = s_n \langle x, u_n \rangle$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T x, v_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \in \Lambda &\implies \langle x, u_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\implies x \in N(T) \implies T x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{v_n : n \in \Lambda\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $R(T)$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$T x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T x, v_n \rangle v_n = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \langle x, T^* v_n \rangle v_n = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} s_n \langle x, u_n \rangle v_n.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Corollary 4.4.7** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  Hilbert spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact operator of infinite rank. Then there exists sequence of finite rank bounded operators  $T_n$  such that  $\|T - T_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact,*

$$T_n x := \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \langle x, u_j \rangle v_j \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where  $\{(s_n, u_n, v_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is as in Theorem 4.4.6, and

$$\|T - T_n\| \leq \sup_{j > n} s_j.$$

If  $s_n, u_n, v_n$  are as in Theorem 4.4.6, then we have

$$T u_n = s_n v_n, \quad T^* v_n = s_n u_n.$$

**Definition 4.4.2** Let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a Hilbert space. The set

$$\{(s_n, u_n, v_n) : n \in \Lambda\}$$

obtained as in Theorem 4.4.6 is called a **singular system** for  $A$ . The numbers  $s_n$  are called the **singular values** of  $A$  with corresponding **singular vectors**  $u_n, v_n$  for  $n \in \Lambda$ .  $\diamond$

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  be of finite rank, say  $\text{rank}(T) = k$ . Then, by Theorem 4.4.6,

$$Tx = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \langle x, u_i \rangle v_i, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $\{(s_n, u_n, v_n) : n = 1, \dots, k\}$  a singular system for  $A$ . Let us consider the operators

$$U : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k, \quad B : \mathbb{K}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k, \quad V : \mathbb{K}^k \rightarrow Y,$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Ux &= \sum_{i=1}^k \langle x, u_i \rangle e_i, \\ B \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i s_i e_i, \\ V \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$T = VBU.$$

If  $X = \mathbb{K}^n$  and  $Y = \mathbb{K}^m$ , then we see that  $U, B, V$  are the matrices

$$U = [\underline{u}_1 \ \underline{u}_2 \ \cdots \ \underline{u}_k], \quad B = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_k), \quad V = [\underline{v}_1 \ \underline{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \underline{v}_k]^*.$$

In this special case, the representation  $T = VBU$  is called the **singular value decomposition** of  $T$ .

**Remark 4.4.1** Singular value decomposition of operators is effectively used in the solution of ill-posed operator equations which are mathematical formulations of many of the practically important inverse problems (cf. Nair [6]).  $\diamond$

## 4.5 Problems

1. Prove Theorem 4.1.2.
2. In Example 4.1.2. Let  $X = c_{00}$  with  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\lambda_n = 1/n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $A$  is bijective, but  $0 \in \sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$ .
3. In Example 4.2.4, show that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = [a, b]$ .
4. Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be distinct points in  $[a, b]$ . Construct  $u \in C[a, b]$  such that if  $A$  is the operator as in Example 4.2.4, then  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ .
5. Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a bounded sequence of scalars and for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $A : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(j) = \lambda_j x(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \ell^p.$$

Prove that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A) = \text{cl} \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

6. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $A$  be the right shift operator on  $\ell^p$ , that is,

$$Ax = (0, x(1), x(2), \dots), \quad x := (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in \ell^p.$$

Prove that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

7. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $A$  be the right shift operator on  $\ell^p$ , that is,

$$Ax = (0, x(1), x(2), x(3), \dots), \quad x := (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in \ell^p.$$

Prove that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

8. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $A$  be the left shift operator on  $\ell^p$ , that is,

$$Ax = (x(2), x(3), \dots), \quad x := (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in \ell^p.$$

Prove that

$$\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

9. Give an example in each of the following:

(a) An operator  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\sigma(A) = \emptyset$ .

(b) An operator  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $r_\sigma(A) < \|A\|$ .

10. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $X = \ell^p$  and  $A : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(j) = \frac{x(j)}{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \ell^p.$$

What are  $\sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A)$  and  $\sigma(A)$ ? Why?

11. Let  $X = C[a, b]$  with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and let  $u \in C[a, b]$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = u(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in X.$$

Prove that  $\sigma_{\text{app}}(A) = \text{cl}\{u(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ .

12. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a Hilbert space. Prove that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{eig}}(A)$  if and only if  $R(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)$  not dense.

13. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a Hilbert space, and let  $\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$ . Prove the following:

(a)  $\sigma(A - \mu I) = \{\lambda - \mu : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ .

(b) If  $\mu \in \rho(A)$ , then  $\sigma((A - \mu I)^{-1}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} : \lambda \in \sigma(A) \right\}$ .

(c) If  $A$  is a normal operator and  $\mu \in \rho(A)$ , then

$$r_\sigma((A - \mu I)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\text{dist}(\mu, \sigma(A))}.$$

14. Let  $A$  be a compact operator on a Hilbert space and  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Without using Riesz lemma prove that  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is finite dimensional.

15. For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , prove that  $\|A\|^2 = r_\sigma(A^*A)$ .